Exhibit C

AFFIDAVIT OF SUPPORT

I, Shirley Bradley (printed name), swear or affirm under penalty of perjury a belief that violation of private wire communications isn't the same as violations of moral copy[rites] to indecent art placed "online" once by Mr Neeley. Images of colored graphics, intended only for logged-in website members, should not be "intercepted" by Google Inc image search and be shown to the anonymous public. This is a criminal act not related to moral copy[rite] to images that no longer exist "online" after deletion.

All that is necessary for pornography to continue destroying morals in the United States is preventing artists, like Mr Neeley, from prosecuting this crime. Accounting for foul words once uttered will not prevent answering for every silence maintained when given an opportunity to protest obvious crimes.

I will not remain silent but affirm an honest belief Mr Neeley's prior pursuit of the moral right to control indecent art is **NOT THE SAME** as Mr Neeley's current pursuit of the right to control private password protected communications like the Show Cause Order alleged to be the same, -as will be addressed at the May 27, 2014 hearing.

Respectfully Submitted

oncerned adult U.S. citizen

address∨

479-422-3326

phone/

AFFIDAVIT OF SUPPORT

I, <u>I.I.P</u> <u>G.</u> <u>(printed name)</u>, swear or affirm under penalty of perjury a belief that violation of private wire communications isn't the same as violations of moral copy[rites] to indecent art placed "online" once by Mr Neeley. Images of colored graphics, intended only for logged-in website members, should not be "intercepted" by Google Inc image search and be shown to the anonymous public. This is a criminal act not related to moral copy[rite] to images that no longer exist "online" after deletion.

All that is necessary for pornography to continue destroying morals in the United States is preventing artists, like Mr Neeley, from prosecuting this crime. Accounting for foul words once uttered will not prevent answering for every silence maintained when given an opportunity to protest obvious crimes.

Respectfully Submitted

Concerned adult U.S. citizen

I will not remain silent but affirm an honest belief Mr Neeley's prior pursuit of the moral right to control indecent art is **NOT THE SAME** as Mr Neeley's current pursuit of the right to control private password protected communications like the Show Cause Order alleged to be the same as will be addressed at the May 27, 2014 hearing.

addressV 2/38 Povere PL FRYETTEV: LLE AR 7270/ phone

C2

AFFIDAVIT OF SUPPORT

I, Mardel Crandell (printed name), swear or affirm under
penalty of perjury a belief that violation of private wire communications isn't the same
as violations of moral copy[rites] to indecent art placed "online" once by Mr Neeley.
Images of colored graphics, intended only for logged-in website members, should not be
"intercepted" by Google Inc image search and be shown to the anonymous public. This
is a criminal act not related to moral copy[rite] to images that no longer exist "online"
after deletion.
All that is necessary for pornography to continue destroying morals in the United States is preventing artists, like Mr Neeley, from prosecuting this crime. Accounting for foul words once uttered will not prevent answering for every silence maintained when given an opportunity to protest obvious crimes.
I will not remain silent but affirm an honest belief Mr Neeley's prior pursuit of the
moral right to control indecent art is NOT THE SAME as Mr Neeley's current pursuit
of the right to control private password protected communications like the Show Cause
Order alleged to be the same as will be addressed at the May 27, 2014 hearing.
Respectfully Submitted
address Concerned adult U.S. citizen 2135 Revere Pi fayetteville, AR 72701



phone∧

AFFIDAVIT OF SUPPORT

I, Marcy West	(printed name), swear or affirm under
penalty of perjury a belief that violation o	of private wire communications isn't the same
as violations of moral copy[rites] to inde	cent art placed "online" once by Mr Neeley
Images of colored graphics, intended only	for logged-in website members, should not be
"intercepted" by Google Inc image search	and be shown to the anonymous public. This
is a criminal act not related to moral copy	[rite] to images that no longer exist "online"
after deletion.	

All that is necessary for pornography to continue destroying morals in the United States is preventing artists, like Mr Neeley, from prosecuting this crime. Accounting for foul words once uttered will not prevent answering for every silence maintained when given an opportunity to protest obvious crimes.

I will not remain silent but affirm an honest belief Mr Neeley's prior pursuit of the moral right to control indecent art is **NOT THE SAME** as Mr Neeley's current pursuit of the right to control private password protected communications like the Show Cause Order alleged to be the same as will be addressed at the May 27, 2014 hearing.

	Respectfully Submitted Many (1) 20 f
addressV 380 134 St. Newbork, Ale 72562	Concerned adult U.S. citizen
phone/\	

CY

AFFIDAVIT OF SUPPORT

I, Xarolyn Allen (printed name), swear or affirm under penalty of perjury a belief that violation of private wire communications isn't the same as violations of moral copy[rites] to indecent art placed "online" once by Mr Neeley. Images of colored graphics, intended only for logged-in website members, should not be "intercepted" by Google Inc image search and be shown to the anonymous public. This is a criminal act not related to moral copy[rite] to images that no longer exist "online" after deletion.

All that is necessary for pornography to continue destroying morals in the United States is preventing artists, like Mr Neeley, from prosecuting this crime. Accounting for foul words once uttered will not prevent answering for every silence maintained when given an opportunity to protest obvious crimes.

I will not remain silent but affirm an honest belief Mr Neeley's prior pursuit of the moral right to control indecent art is **NOT THE SAME** as Mr Neeley's current pursuit of the right to control private password protected communications like the Show Cause Order alleged to be the same as will be addressed at the May 27, 2014 hearing.

	Respectfully Submitted
AGBY N Stennin LA Faifettewill AR 72703	Concerned adult U.S. citizen
phone∧	



AFFIDAVIT OF SUPPORT

I, (printed name), swear or affirm under penalty of perjury a belief that violation of private wire communications isn't the same as violations of moral copy[rites] to indecent art placed "online" once by Mr Neeley. Images of colored graphics, intended only for logged-in website members, should not be "intercepted" by Google Inc image search and be shown to the anonymous public. This is a criminal act not related to moral copy[rite] to images that no longer exist "online" after deletion.

All that is necessary for pornography to continue destroying morals in the United States is preventing artists, like Mr Neeley, from prosecuting this crime. Accounting for foul words once uttered will not prevent answering for every silence maintained when given an opportunity to protest obvious crimes.

I will not remain silent but affirm an honest belief Mr Neeley's prior pursuit of the moral right to control indecent art is **NOT THE SAME** as Mr Neeley's current pursuit of the right to control private password protected communications like the Show Cause Order alleged to be the same, -as will be addressed at the May 27, 2014 hearing.

address V Concerned adult U.S. citizen

P.O But Alth

Tarming the 12750

06

phone/

AFFIDAVIT OF SUPPORT

I, AISA LOCK (printed name), swear or affirm under penalty of perjury a belief that violation of private wire communications isn't the same as violations of moral copy[rites] to indecent art placed "online" once by Mr Neeley. Images of colored graphics, intended only for logged-in website members, should not be "intercepted" by Google Inc image search and be shown to the anonymous public. This is a criminal act not related to moral copy[rite] to images that no longer exist "online" after deletion.

All that is necessary for pornography to continue destroying morals in the United States is preventing artists, like Mr Neeley, from prosecuting this crime. Accounting for foul words once uttered will not prevent answering for every silence maintained when given an opportunity to protest obvious crimes.

I will not remain silent but affirm an honest belief Mr Neeley's prior pursuit of the moral right to control indecent art is **NOT THE SAME** as Mr Neeley's current pursuit of the right to control private password protected communications like the Show Cause Order alleged to be the same, -as will be addressed at the May 27, 2014 hearing.

address∨ 10 N H	unter-
FArmings	72/130
phone/\	

Respectfully Submitted

